arXiv:1508.05673v1 [cs.IT] 23 Aug 2015

Constructing bent functions and bent idempotents of any possible algebraic degrees

Chunming Tang, Yanfeng Qi, Zhengchun Zhou, Cuiling Fan

Abstract

Bent functions as optimal combinatorial objects are difficult to characterize and construct. In the literature, bent idempotents are a special class of bent functions and few constructions have been presented, which are restricted by the degree of finite fields and have algebraic degree no more than 4. In this paper, several new infinite families of bent functions are obtained by adding the the algebraic combination of linear functions to some known bent functions and their duals are calculated. These bent functions contain some previous work on infinite families of bent functions by Mesnager [33] and Xu et al. [42]. Further, infinite families of bent idempotents of any possible algebraic degree are constructed from any quadratic bent idempotent. To our knowledge, it is the first univariate representation construction of infinite families of bent idempotents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 2 and m, which solves the open problem on bent idempotents proposed by Carlet [9]. And an infinite family of anti-self-dual bent functions are obtained. The sum of three anti-self-dual bent functions in such a family is also anti-self-dual bent and belongs to this family. This solves the open problem proposed by Mesnager [33].

Index Terms

Bent functions, rotation symmetric functions, bent idempotents, Walsh transform, algebraic degree

I. INTRODUCTION

Boolean bent functions introduced by Rothaus [40] in 1976 are an interesting combinatorial object with the maximum Hamming distance to the set of all affine functions. Such functions have been extensively studied because of their important applications in cryptograph (stream ciphers [8]), sequences [36], graph theory [38], coding theory (Reed-Muller codes [15], two-weight and three-weight linear codes [2], [18]), and association schemes [39]. A complete classification of bent functions is still elusive. Further, not only their characterization, but also their generation are challenging problems. Much work on bent functions are devoted to the construction of bent functions [4], [5], [6], [8], [11], [13], [14], [16], [17], [19], [22], [23], [24], [25], [27], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [43].

Idempotents introduced by Filiol and Fontaine in [20], [21] are Boolean functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, $f(x) = f(x^2)$. Rotation symmetric Boolean functions, which was also introduced by Filiol and Fontaine under the name of idempotent functions and studied by Pieprzyk and Qu [37], are invariant under circular translation of indices. Due to less space to be stored and allowing faster computation of the Walsh transform, they are of great interest. They can be obtained from idempotents (and vice versa) through the choice of a normal basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. Characterizing and constructing idempotent bent functions and rotation symmetric bent functions are difficult and have theoretical and practical interest. In the literature, few constructions of bent idempotents have been presented, which are restricted by the degree of finite fields and have algebraic degree no more than 4. Carlet [9] introduced an open problem: how to construct classes of bent idempotents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 5 and m.

Bent functions always occurs in pairs. In fact, the dual of a given bent function is also a bent function. Generally, the calculation of the dual of a given bent function is difficult. As a subclass of bent functions, self-dual bent functions (resp. anti-self-dual bent functions) coincide with their dual (resp. have a very simple way with their dual). They are interesting and can be used to construct new bent functions. Mesnager [33] proposed an open problem: find three anti-self-dual bent functions f_1, f_2, and f_3 over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ such that $f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is anti-self-dual bent.

In this paper, we consider bent functions of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)),$$

where $g(x)$ is some known bent function, $n = 2m$, τ is a positive integer such that $1 \leq \tau \leq m$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. Mesnager [33] studied the case that $F(X_1, X_2) = X_1 X_2$. Xu et al. [42] studied the case $F(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1 X_2 X_3$. We consider the general case. First, from some known bent functions, we present several new infinite families of bent functions by calculating their duals. Second, from any quadratic idempotent bent function, we get infinite families of bent idempotents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 2 and m. To our knowledge, it is the first

C. Tang is with School of Mathematics and Information, China West Normal University, Sichuan Nanchong, 637002, China. e-mail: tangchunming-math@163.com

Y. Qi is with School of Science, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310018, China. e-mail: qiyanfeng07@163.com.

Z. Zhou is with the School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China. e-mail: zzc@swjtu.edu.cn.

C. Fan is with the School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China. e-mail: fcl@swjtu.edu.cn.

univariate representation construction of infinite families of bent idempotents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 2 and m, which solves the open problem proposed by Carlet [9]. Third, we present an infinite family of anti-self-dual bent functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of algebraic degree between 2 and $m-1$. If f_1, f_2, and f_3 are anti-self-dual bent functions of this family, then $f_1+f_2+f_3$ is also anti-self-dual bent and belongs to this family. Hence, we solve the open problem proposed by Mesnager [33].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces some basic notations and results on Boolean bent functions, bent idempotents, and self-dual bent functions (anti-self-dual bent functions). Section III, we present our concrete construction of several new infinite families of bent functions. Concretely, in Section III-A, a new infinite family of bent functions from Kasami bent functions is obtained, which gives an infinite family of bent idempotents and an infinite family of anti-self-dual bent functions. We obtain an infinite family of bent functions and bent idempotents from any quadratic idempotent bent function in Section III-B, a new infinite family of bent functions from Gold-like monomial functions in Section III-C, a new infinite family of bent functions from Niho exponents in Section III-D, and a new infinite family of bent functions from the Maiorana-Mcfarland class of bent functions in Section III-E. Section IV makes a conclusion.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let $n=2m$ be a positive integer, $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ denote the finite field with 2^{2m} elements, and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}^{\times}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}\backslash\{0\}$. For any positive integer $k|n$, the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2^k}$, denoted by Tr_k^n, is the mapping defined as :

$$\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(x)=x+x^{2^k}+x^{2^{2k}}+\cdots+x^{2^{n-k}}.$$

When $k=1$, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}x^{2^i}$ is called the absolute trace function. The trace function satisfies that $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x^2)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^k(\mathrm{Tr}_k^n(x))$ for any integer $k|n$. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the integer set and $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau]$ the multivariate polynomial ring with variables $X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau$ for any positive integer τ.

A Boolean function $f(x)$ defined on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ is a mapping from $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$. Every nonzero $f(x)$ has a unique univariate representation of the form

$$f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1}a_jx^j.$$

where $a_0,a_{2^n-1}\in\mathbb{F}_2$ and $a_{2j}=a_j^2$, and $j\in\mathbb{Z}/(2^n-1)\mathbb{Z}$. The univariate representation can be written as a trace representation of the form

$$f(x)=\sum_{j\in\Gamma_n}\mathrm{Tr}_1^{o(j)}(a_jx^j)+a_{2^n-1}x^{2^n-1}$$

where

- Γ_n is the set of integers obtained by choosing one element in each cyclotomic class of 2 modulo 2^n-1 (j is often chosen as the smallest element in its cyclotomic class, called the coset leader of the class);
- $o(j)$ is the size of the cyclotomic coset of 2 modulo 2^n-1 containing j;
- $a_j\in\mathbb{F}_{2^{o(j)}}$;
- $a_{2^n-1}=0$ or 1.

We also have $f(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(P(x))$ for some polynomial $P(x)$, which is not a unique representation.

Definition Let $f(x)$ be a Boolean function defined on $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. $f(x)$ is called an idempotent if

$$f(x)=f(x^2),\forall x\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$$

Every $x\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ has a unique representation $x=X_1\zeta_1+X_2\zeta_2+\cdots+X_n\zeta_n$, where $X_i\in\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\cdots,\zeta_n$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Hence, x is denoted by a vector $(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_n)$. A Boolean function $f(x)$ can also be written as $f(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_n):\mathbb{F}_2^n\longmapsto\mathbb{F}_2$. A Boolean function defined over $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ is often represented by the algebraic normal form (ANF):

$$f(X_1,\cdots,X_n)=\sum_{I\subseteq\{1,\cdots,n\}}a_I(\prod_{i\in I}X_i),\quad a_I\in\mathbb{F}_2. \tag{1}$$

A polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_n]$ with the form in Equation (1) is called a reduced polynomial.

The algebraic degree of a Boolean function f is the global degree of its algebraic normal form. And the algebraic degree of f can also be expressed as $max\{wt_2(j):a_j\neq 0\}$, where $wt_2(j)$ is the Hamming weight of the binary expression of j and a_j is in the trace representation or univariate representation of f. The following lemma is used to determine the algebraic degree of some Boolean functions.

Lemma 2.1: Let τ be a positive integer such that $1\le\tau\le m$, $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_\tau\in\mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial function in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau]$ of algebraic degree d. Then the function

$$F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$$

has algebraic degree d.

Proof: Since $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau$ are linearly independent, there exist $u_{\tau+1}, \cdots, u_n$ such that $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_n$ form a basis of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Thus, for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$, there exists $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i u_i$. Define a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n \\ x &\longmapsto (X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_i x)$. If there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x_0) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x_0) = \cdots = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x_0) = 0,$$

then for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_i x_0) = 0$. Hence, $x_0 = 0$. The defined mapping σ is nondegenerated. $F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$ can be seen as a function $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2^n$. The algebraic degree of a Boolean function under a nondegenerate mapping keeps unchanged. Hence, $F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$ has algebraic degree d. ■

Definition A Boolean function or a multivariate polynomial $f(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$ is rotation symmetric if it is invariant under cyclic shift:

$$f(X_n, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{n-1}) = f(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$$

For a Boolean function $f(x)$ and a normal basis $\{u, u^2, \cdots, u^{2^{n-1}}\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the multivariate representation of $f(x)$:

$$f(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i u^{2^{i-1}})$$

is rotation symmetric if and only if $f(x)$ is an idempotent.

The bivariate representation is based on the identification $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}} \approx \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and has the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{0 \le i,j \le 2^m - 1} a_{i,j} x^i y^j, \quad a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$$

The simple representation $f(x, y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(P(x, y))$ exists but not unique, where $P(x, y)$ ia a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

The Walsh transform of a Boolean function calculates the correlations between the function and linear Boolean functions. For the univariate trace representation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{f(x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$$

For the bivariate trace representation over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{f(x,y) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)}.$$

For the multivariate representation over $\mathbb{F}_2^n$, the Walsh transform of f is

$$\mathcal{W}_f(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) = \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i}, \quad y_i \in \mathbb{F}_2.$$

The inverse Walsh transform of $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is

$$(-1)^{f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mathcal{W}(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n)(-1)^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_n y_n}.$$

Then $(-1)^{f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)}$ can be expressed by the linear combination of $(-1)^{x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_n y_n}$. This representation is unique and is vital in this paper. And we rewrite this in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2: Let τ be a positive integer and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. There exists a unique set of $c_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying that

$$(-1)^{F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i},$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_2^\tau$.

Definition A Boolean function f is called a bent function if $|\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)| = 2^{n/2}$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. If f is an idempotent, then f is called a bent idempotent or an idempotent bent function.

Bent functions exist only for an even number of variables. For a bent function defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, its dual is the Boolean function $\widetilde{f}$ such that $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^{n/2}(-1)^{\widetilde{f}(\beta)}$. The dual of a bent function is also bent. Thus, Boolean bent functions occur in pairs. Determining the dual of a bent function is difficult. A bent function is called self-dual (resp. anti-self-dual) if $\widetilde{f} = f$ (resp. $\widetilde{f} = f + 1$).

III. SEVERAL NEW INFINITE FAMILIES OF BENT FUNCTIONS AND BENT IDEMPOTENTS

In this section, we generalize the construction of infinite families of bent functions by Mesnager [33] and Xu et al. [42] and construct several new infinite families of bent functions and bent idempotents from some known bent functions.

Let $n = 2m$, $g(x)$ be a Boolean bent function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \leq \tau \leq m$, $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau$ be τ variables, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. We will study Boolean functions over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)),$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}^\times$.

From Lemma 2.2, as a function from $\mathbb{F}_2^\tau$ to the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, $(-1)^{F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}$ has the unique Fourier expansion, i.e., there exists a unique set of $c_{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(-1)^{F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{w_1X_1+w_2X_2+\cdots+w_\tau X_\tau}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_\tau) \in \mathbb{F}_2^\tau$. Equation (2) holds for any $X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_2$. In particular, take $X_1 = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x)$, $X_2 = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x)$, $\cdots$, $X_\tau = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, we have

$$(-1)^{F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\sum_{i=1}^\tau w_iu_i)x)}. \tag{3}$$

Multiplying both sides of Equation (3) by $(-1)^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)}$, we have

$$(-1)^{g(x)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\beta+\sum_{i=1}^\tau w_iu_i)x)}.$$

Further, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}} (-1)^{g(x)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)} = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{W}_g(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i),$$

i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}\mathcal{W}_g(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i).$$

Let $\widetilde{g}(x)$ be the dual of $g(x)$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^\tau w_iu_i)}. \tag{4}$$

For different bent functions $g(x)$, we compute $\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)$ and construct new infinite families of bent functions.

A. New bent functions from Kasami functions

Let $n = 2m$ $(m \geq 2)$. The Kasami function [33] is a bent function of the form $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1})$. And its dual is $\widetilde{g}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x^{2^m+1}) + 1$. Then we will characterize the bentness of functions of the form

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)), \tag{5}$$

where $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}^\times$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ is a reduced polynomial. In the following theorem, we present a construction of a new family of bent functions from the Kasami functions and compute their duals.

Theorem 3.1: Let $n = 2m$, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \leq \tau \leq m$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^\times$, and $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. If $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_i^{2^m}u_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq \tau$, the function defined in Equation (5) is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau) + 1,$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(x^{2^m}u_i + xu_i^{2^m} + u_i^{2^m+1}))$.

Proof: From Equation (4),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) =& 2^m \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^\tau w_iu_i)^{2^m+1})+1}\\ =& -2^m \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^\tau w_iu_i)^{2^m+1})}.\end{aligned}$$

From properties of the trace function,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau}w_iu_i)^{2^m+1}) =&\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}\beta^{2^m+1})+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau}w_i\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta^{2^m}u_i+\beta u_i^{2^m}+u_i^{2^m+1}))\\ &+\sum_{1\le i<j\le\tau}w_iw_j\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u_i^{2^m}u_j+u_iu_j^{2^m})).\end{aligned}$$

From $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_i^{2^m}u_j)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda(u_i^{2^m}u_j+u_iu_j^{2^m}))=0$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau}w_iu_i)^{2^m+1})=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}\beta^{2^m+1})+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau}w_iX_i,$$

where $X_i=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(\beta^{2^m}u_i+\beta u_i^{2^m}+u_i^{2^m+1}))$. From Equation (4), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)=-2^m(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda\beta^{2^m+1})}\sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^{\tau}}c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau}w_iX_i}.$$

From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)=-2^m(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda\beta^{2^m+1})}(-1)^{F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}=2^m(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda\beta^{2^m+1})+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)+1}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1})+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)+1,$$

where $X_i=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(x^{2^m}u_i+xu_i^{2^m}+u_i^{2^m+1}))$. ■

Corollary 3.2: Let $n=2m$, τ be a positive integer such that $1\le\tau\le m$, $\lambda,u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_\tau\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau]$ of algebraic degree d, where $u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_\tau$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then the function $f(x)$ defined in Equation (5) is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_1x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_1^2),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_2x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_2^2),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_\tau x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_\tau^2))+1.$$

If $d\ge 2$, then $f(x)$ is a bent function of algebraic degree d.

Proof: Note that $u_i\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda u_i^{2^m}u_j)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda u_iu_j)=0$. From Theorem 3.1, $f(x)$ is a bent function. Note that $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_i^{2^m}x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_ix)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_i^{2^m+1})=\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_i^2)$. From Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_1x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_1^2),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_2x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_2^2),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u_\tau x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u_\tau^2))+1.$$

The algebraic degree of $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)$ is d and the algebraic degree of $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x^{2^m+1})$ is 2. If $d\ge 2$, from Lemma 2.1, the algebraic degree of $f(x)$ is d.

Hence, this corollary follows. ■

Theorem 3.3: Let $n=2m$, u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, and $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_m)$ be a reduced rotation symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_m]$ of algebraic degree d. Then the function

$$f(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x))$$

is a bent idempotent. And its dual

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux)+1,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x)+1,\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x)+1)+1$$

is also a bent idempotent. If $d\ge 2$, $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent of algebraic degree d.

Proof: Since u is a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, then $u,u^2,\cdots,u^{2^{m-1}}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u)=1$. From Corollary 3.2, $f(x)$ is a bent function and $\widetilde{f}(x)$ is also bent. From the rotation symmetric property of $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)$,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x^2)=&\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2(2^m+1)})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux^2)+1,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x^2)+1,\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x^2)+1)\\ =&\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{n-1}}x)+1,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2n}x^2)+1,\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m+n-2}}x)+1)\\ =&\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x)+1,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux^2)+1,\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-2}}x)+1)\\ =&\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux)+1,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x)+1,\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x)+1)\\ =&f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\widetilde{f}(x^2)=\widetilde{f}(x)$. $f(x)$ and $\widetilde{f}(x)$ are both idempotents. If $d\ge 2$, from Lemma 2.1, then $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent of algebraic degree d.

Hence, this theorem follows. ■

Corollary 3.4: Let $n = 2m$, u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, and d be a positive integer such that $2 \le d \le m$. Then the function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \sum_{0 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \le m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{i_j}} x))$$

is a bent idempotent of algebraic degree d.

Proof: From Theorem 3.3, we just take $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ as the d-th elementary symmetric polynomial and obtain this corollary. ■

Corollary 3.5: Let $n = 2m$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$, $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m]$ of algebaric degree d. Then the function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_m x))$$

is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1} u_1 x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} u_1^2), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1} u_2 x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} u_2^2), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1} u_m x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} u_m^2)) + 1.$$

If $d \ge 2$, then $f(x)$ is a bent function of algebraic degree d.

Proof: From Corollary 3.2 and $\tau = m$, this corollary follows. ■

Corollary 3.6: Let $n = 2m$, τ be a positive integer such that $2 \le \tau \le m$, and $\lambda, u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, where u_i are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then the function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \prod_{i=1}^{\tau} \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_i x)$$

is bent of algebraic degree τ and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} x^{2^m+1}) + \prod_{i=1}^{\tau} (\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1} u_i x) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1} u_i^2)) + 1.$$

Proof: From Corollary 3.2, this corollary follows. ■

Let $T_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} : \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x) = 0\}$. Then the dimension of T_0 over $\mathbb{F}_2$ is $m - 1$. The following corollary gives a class of self-dual bent functions.

Corollary 3.7: Let $n = 2m$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{m-1}$ be a basis of T_0 over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{m-1})$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_{m-1}]$ of algebraic degree d. Then the function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_{m-1} x))$$

is anti-self-dual bent. And its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_{m-1} x)) + 1.$$

If $d \ge 2$, then $f(x)$ is an anti-self-dual bent function of algebraic degree d.

Proof: Since $u_i \in T_0$, then $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i^2) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i) = 0$. From Corollary 3.2, this corollary follows. ■

Remark Let $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{m-1}$ be a basis of T_0 over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Take three reduced polynomials $F_1(X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1})$, $F_2(X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1})$, and $F_3(X_1, \cdots, X_{m-1})$. From Corollary 3.7, the three functions

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x) &= \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F_1(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_{m-1} x)),\\
f_2(x) &= \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F_2(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_{m-1} x)),\\
f_3(x) &= \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F_3(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1 x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2 x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_{m-1} x))
\end{aligned}$$

are anti-self-dual bent functions. From Corollary 3.7, $f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is also anti-self-dual bent. This solves the open problem proposed by Mesnager [33].

B. New bent functions and bent idempotents from quadratic bent idempotents

Let $g(x)$ be a quadratic idempotent bent function of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x^{2^i+1}) + c_m \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \varepsilon, \tag{6}$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, m)$. The dual of a quadratic bent function is also a quadratic bent function. Let $\widetilde{g}(x)$ be the dual of $g(x)$. The following lemma shows that if $g(x)$ is idempotent, then $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is also idempotent.

Lemma 3.8: Let $g(x)$ be a bent idempotent. Then $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is also a bent idempotent.

Proof: The Walsh transform of g is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} (-1)^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x)}\\ &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} (-1)^{g(x^2)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta x^2)}\\ &= \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_q} (-1)^{g(x)+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta^{2^{n-1}} x)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{W}_g(\beta) = \mathcal{W}_g(\beta^{2^{n-1}})$ for any β. Hence, $\mathcal{W}_g(\beta^2) = \mathcal{W}_g((\beta^2)^{2^{n-1}}) = \mathcal{W}_g(\beta)$. Since $g(x)$ is bent, then

$$2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta^2)} = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)},$$

i.e., $\widetilde{g}(\beta^2) = \widetilde{g}(\beta)$. Hence, $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is also idempotent bent. ■

From Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \widetilde{c}_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x^{2^i+1}) + \widetilde{c}_m \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \widetilde{\varepsilon}.$$

In this subsection, we consider functions of the form

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x)), \tag{7}$$

where $g(x)$ is defined in Equation (6), τ is a positive integer such that $1 \le \tau \le m$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ is a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$.

Theorem 3.9: Let $n = 2m$, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \le \tau \le m$, $g(x)$ be quadratic idempotent bent of the form (6), $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. Then the function $f(x)$ defined in Equation (7) is bent.

Proof: From Equation (4),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i)}.$$

When $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\beta+u)^{2^i+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\beta^{2^i+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n((\beta^{2^i} + \beta^{2^{n-i}})u)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m((\beta+u)^{2^m+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\beta^{2^m+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m((\beta^{2^m} + \beta + 1)u)$. Let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i$. Then

$$\widetilde{g}(\beta+u) = \widetilde{g}(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iX_i.$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_i \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \widetilde{c}_j(\beta^{2^j} + \beta^{2^{n-j}})) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_m(\beta^{2^m} + \beta + 1))$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)} \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iX_i}.$$

From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent. ■

Theorem 3.10: Let $n = 2m$, $g(x)$ be quadratic idempotent bent of the form (6), u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial of algebraic degree d in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m]$. Then the function

$$f(x) = g(x) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x)),$$

is always bent. Further, if $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is rotation symmetric, then $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent. And when $d \ge 2$, $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent of algebraic degree d.

Proof: From Theorem 3.9, $f(x)$ is bent. Since u is a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_m)$ is rotation symmetric, we can verify that

$$f(x^2) = f(x).$$

Hence, f is idempotent. When $d \ge 2$, from Lemma 2.1, the algebraic degree of $f(x)$ is d. ■

Remark The quadratic idempotent bent function $g(x)$ is required in the construction. Ma et al. [26] proved that $g(x)$ is bent if and only if $gcd(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i(X^i + X^{n-i}) + c_mX^m, X^n + 1) = 1$. This condition is equivalent to that the linearized polynomial $L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i(x^{2^i} + x^{2^{n-i}}) + c_mx^{2^m}$ is a permutation polynomial (a necessary condition is that $L(1) \ne 0$, i.e., $c_m = 1$).

If n is a power of 2, then according to [41][Proposition 3.1], $g(x)$ is bent if and only if $c_m = 1$. For any $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and rotation symmetric polynomial $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$, the function in Theorem 3.10 of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(x^{2^i+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x))$$

is always idempotent bent.

Note that more results, valid for more general values of n, can be found in [43].

C. New bent functions from Gold-like monomial functions

Mesnager [33] showed that the monomial function $g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ is self-dual bent, i.e., for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$, the dual $\widetilde{g}(\beta) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1})$, where $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$.

In the following theorem, we present a construction of a new family of bent functions from the Gold-like monomial function and compute their duals.

Theorem 3.11: Let $n = 4k$, k be positive integers, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \le \tau \le 2k$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. If $\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u_i^{2^k}u_j + u_iu_j^{2^k})) = 0$ for any $1 \le i < j \le \tau$, then the function $f(x)$

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(u_\tau x))$$

is bent.

Proof: From Equation (4),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^{2k} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}} (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i)^{2^k+1})}.$$

Note that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iu_i)^{2^k+1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda\beta^{2^k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(\beta^{2^k}u_i + \beta u_i^{2^k} + u_i^{2^k+1})) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le \tau} w_iw_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u_i^{2k}u_j + u_iu_j^{2^k})).$$

From $\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u_i^{2k}u_j + u_iu_j^{2^k})) = 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^{2k}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda\beta^{2^k+1})} \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_iX_i},$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(\beta^{2^k}u_i + \beta u_i^{2^k} + u_i^{2^k+1}))$. From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^{2k}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda\beta^{2^k+1}) + F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1}) + F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau),$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(x^{2^k}u_i + xu_i^{2^k} + u_i^{2^k+1}))$. ■

D. New bent functions from Niho exponents

Leander and Kholosha [24] introduced a class of bent functions of the form

$$g(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)i/2^k+1}),$$

where $(k, m) = 1$. Its dual [1] is

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + x^{2^m} + \alpha^{2^{n-k}})A^{1/2^{k-1}}),$$

where $\alpha + \alpha^{2^m} = 1$ and $A = 1 + x + x^{2^m}$.

In the following theorem, we present a construction of a new family of bent functions from the Niho exponents and compute their duals.

Theorem 3.12: Let $n = 2m$, k be a positive integer satisfying $(k, m) = 1$, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \le \tau \le m$, $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\tau \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^{\times}$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$. Then the function

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)i/2^k+1}) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_1x), \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_2x), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u_\tau x))$$

is bent.

Proof: Note that

$$1+(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)+(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)^{2^m}=1+\beta+\beta^{2^m}.$$

From the dual $\widetilde{g}(x)$,

$$\widetilde{g}(\beta+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i)=\widetilde{g}(\beta)+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i A^{1/2^{k-1}}),$$

where $A=1+\beta+\beta^{2^m}$. From Equation (4), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)=2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)}\sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^{\tau}} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i},$$

where $X_i=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i A^{1/2^{k-1}})$. From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)=2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\widetilde{g}(x)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_1 A^{1/2^{k-1}}),\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_2 A^{1/2^{k-1}}),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_\tau A^{1/2^{k-1}})),$$

where $A=1+x+x^{2^m}$. ■

Corollary 3.13: Let $n=2m$, k be a positive integer satisfying $(k,m)=1$, u be a normal element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, and $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_m)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_m]$. Then the function

$$f(x)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1})+\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)i/2^k+1})+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(ux),\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^2x),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^n(u^{2^{m-1}}x))$$

is bent. Further, if $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_m)$ is rotation symmetric, $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent.

Proof: From Theorem 3.12, $f(x)$ is bent. From a similar proof of Theorem 3.3, we have $f(x^2)=f(x)$. Hence, $f(x)$ is a bent idempotent. ■

E. New bent functions from the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions

The Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions are defined over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ of the form

$$g(x,y)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y))+h(y),$$

where $\pi:\mathbb{F}_{2^m}\longrightarrow\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is a permutation and h is a Boolean function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Its Walsh transform is

$$\mathcal{W}_g(\beta_1,\beta_2)=2^m(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\beta_2\pi^{-1}(\beta_1))+h(\pi^{-1}(\beta_1))}.$$

And its dual [8] is

$$\widetilde{g}(x,y)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(y\pi^{-1}(x))+h(\pi^{-1}(x)).$$

In the following theorem, we present a construction of a new family of bent functions from the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions and compute their duals.

Theorem 3.14: Let $n=2m$, τ be a positive integer such that $1\le\tau\le m$, $u_i=(u_i^{(1)},u_i^{(2)})\in\mathbb{F}_{2^m}\times\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ $(1\le i\le\tau)$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$, π be a linear permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, and $F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau]$. If $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_j^{(1)})+u_j^{(2)}\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}))=0$ for any $1\le i<j\le\tau$ Then the function

$$f(x,y)=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y))+\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(by)+F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_1^{(1)}x+u_1^{(2)}y),\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_2^{(1)}x+u_2^{(2)}y),\cdots,\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_\tau^{(1)}x+u_\tau^{(2)}y))$$

is bent.

Proof: Note that

$$\widetilde{g}(\beta_1+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(1)},\beta_2+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(2)})=\widetilde{g}(\beta_1,\beta_2)+\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i+\sum_{1\le i<j\le\tau} w_i w_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_j^{(1)})+u_j^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_i^{(1)})),$$

where $X_i=\mathrm{Tr}_1^m((\beta_2+b)\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)})+u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(\beta_1)+u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}))$. From $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_j^{(1)})+u_j^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}))=0$ and Equation (4), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta)=2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1,\beta_2)}\sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^{\tau}} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i}.$$

From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1,\beta_2)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent and its dual is

$$\widetilde{f}(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(y\pi^{-1}(x)) + \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(b\pi^{-1}(x)) + F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau),$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m((y+b)\pi^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}) + u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(x) + u_i^{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_i^{(1)}))$. ■

Theorem 3.15: Let $n = 2m$, s be a divisor of m such that $\frac{m}{s}$ is odd, τ be a positive integer such that $1 \leq \tau \leq m$, $u_i = (u_i^{(1)}, u_i^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ $(1 \leq i \leq \tau)$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and $F(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau)$ be a reduced polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_\tau]$, where for any $1 \leq i, j \leq \tau$, $u_i^{(1)}u_j^{(2)} + u_j^{(1)}u_i^{(2)} = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m((u_i^{(1)})^2u_j^{(2)} + u_i^{(2)}(u_j^{(1)})^2 = 0$. Then the function

$$f(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(xy^d) + F(\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_1^{(1)}x + u_1^{(2)}y), \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_2^{(1)}x + u_2^{(2)}y), \cdots, \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_\tau^{(1)}x + u_\tau^{(2)}y))$$

is bent, where $d(2^s+1) \equiv 1 \mod 2^m - 1$.

Proof: Take $\pi(y) = y^d$. From $d(2^s+1) \equiv 1 \mod 2^m - 1$,

$$\widetilde{g}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\beta_2\beta_1^{2^s+1}).$$

From $u_i^{(1)}, u_i^{(2)} \in \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{g}(\beta_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(1)}, \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i u_i^{(2)}) =& \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\beta_2\beta_1^{2^s+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i \\ &+ \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq\tau} w_i w_j \mathrm{Tr}_1^m((\beta_1^{2^s} + \beta_1)(u_i^{(2)}u_j^{(1)} + u_j^{(2)}u_i^{(1)}) + u_i^{(2)}(u_j^{(1)})^2 + u_j^{(2)}(u_i^{(1)})^2),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = \mathrm{Tr}_1^m(\beta_1^{2^s+1}u_i^{(2)} + \beta_2(\beta_1^{2^s}u_i^{(1)} + \beta_1 u_i^{(1)} + (u_i^{(1)})^2) + u_i^{(2)}(\beta_1^{2^s}u_i^{(1)} + \beta_1 u_i^{(1)} + (u_i^{(1)})^2))$. From $u_i^{(2)}u_j^{(1)} + u_j^{(2)}u_i^{(1)} = 0$, $\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(u_i^{(2)}(u_j^{(1)})^2 + u_j^{(2)}(u_i^{(1)})^2) = 0$, and Equation (4),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1,\beta_2)} \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in\mathbb{F}_2^\tau} c_{\mathbf{w}}(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} w_i X_i}.$$

From Equation (2),

$$\mathcal{W}_f(\beta) = 2^m(-1)^{\widetilde{g}(\beta_1,\beta_2)+F(X_1,X_2,\cdots,X_\tau)}.$$

Hence, $f(x)$ is bent. ■

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we generalize previous work on the constructions of infinite families of bent functions [33], [42] and construct several infinite families of bent functions from known bent functions (the Kasami functions, quadratic idempotent bent functions, bent functions via Niho exponents, the Gold-like monomial functions, and the Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions). These bent functions contain some previous bent functions by Mesnager [33] and Xu et al. [42]. Further, several infinite families of bent idempotents of any possible algebraic degree are obtained from any quadratic bent idempotent, which solves the open problem proposed by Carlet [9]. And an infinite family of anti-self-dual bent functions are constructed. Take three anti-self-dual bent functions f_1, f_2, and f_3 in such a family, then $f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ belongs to this family, i.e., $f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is anti-self-dual bent. This solves the open problem proposed by Mesnager [33].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401480, No.10990011 & No. 61272499). Yanfeng Qi also acknowledges support from KSY075614050 of Hangzhou Dianzi University.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, and S. Mesnager, “Further results on Niho bent functions,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 6979-6985, Nov. 2012.

[2] R. Calderbank and W. M. Kantor, “The geometry of two-weight codes,” Bull. London Math. Soc., vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 97-122, 1986.

[3] A. Canteaut and P. Charpin, “Decomposing bent functions,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 2004-2019, Aug. 2003.

[4] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, and G. Kyureghyan, “A new class of monomial bent functions,” Finite Fields Their Appl., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 221-241, 2008.

[5] C. Carlet, “Two new classes of bent functions,” in EUROCRYPT (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 765. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1994, pp. 77-101.

[6] C. Carlet, “A construction of bent function,” in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Finite Fields and Appl., 1996, pp. 47-58.

[7] C. Carlet, “On bent and highly nonlinear balanced/resilient functions and their algebraic immunities,” in AAECC (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 3857, M. P. C. Fossorier, H. Imai, S. Lin, and A. Poli, Eds. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2006, pp. 1-28.

[8] C. Carlet, “Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes,” in Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering, Y. Crama and P. L. Hammer, Eds. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010, pp. 257-397.
[9] C Carlet. “Open Open Problems on Binary Bent Functions”. Open Problems in Mathematics and Computational Science 2014, pp 203-241
[10] C. Carlet, L. E. Danielsen, M. G. Parker, and P. Sol, “Self-dual bent functions,” Int. J. Inform. Coding Theory, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 384-399, 2010.
[11] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, “On Dillons class H of bent functions, Niho bent functions and O-polynomials,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 118, no. 8, pp. 2392-2410, 2011.
[12] C. Carlet, T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, and S. Mesnager, “On the dual of bent functions with 2r Niho exponents,” in Proc. IEEE ISIT, Aug. 2011, pp. 703-707.
[13] P. Charpin and G. Gong, “Hyperbent functions, Kloosterman sums and Dickson polynomials,” in Proc. ISIT, Jul. 2008, pp. 1758-1762.
[14] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan, “Cubic monomial bent functions: A subclass of M,” SIAM J. Discrete Math., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 650-665, 2008.
[15] G. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn, and A. Lobstein, Covering Codes. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1997.
[16] J. Dillon, “Elementary Hadamard difference sets,” Ph.D. dissertation, Netw. Commun. Lab., Univ. Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, 1974.
[17] J. F. Dillon and H. Dobbertin, “New cyclic difference sets with Singer parameters,” Finite Fields Their Appl., vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 342-389, 2004.
[18] C. Ding, “Linear codes from some 2-designs,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 3265-3275, June 2015.
[19] H. Dobbertin, G. Leander, A. Canteaut, C. Carlet, P. Felke, and P. Gaborit, “Construction of bent functions via Niho power functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 113, no. 5, pp. 779-798, 2006.
[20] C. Fontaine, “On some cosets of the first-order Reed-Muller code with high minimum weight,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 45, 1237-1243 (1999)
[21] E. Filiol, C. Fontaine, “Highly nonlinear balanced Boolean functions with a good correlationimmunity,” in Proceedings of EUROCRYPT98. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 1403 (1998), pp. 475-488
[22] R. Gold, “Maximal recursive sequences with 3-valued recursive crosscorrelation functions (Corresp.),” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 154-156, Jan. 1968.
[23] G. Leander, “Monomial bent functions,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 738-743, Feb. 2006.
[24] G. Leander and A. Kholosha, “Bent functions with 2r Niho exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5529-5532, Dec. 2006.
[25] N. Li, T. Helleseth, X. Tang, and A. Kholosha, “Several new classes of bent functions from Dillon exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1818-1831, Mar. 2013.
[26] W. Ma, M. Lee, and F. Zhang, “A new class of bent functions, IEICE Trans. Fundamentals, vol. E88-A, no. 7, pp. 2039-2040, July 2005.
[27] R. L. McFarland, “A family of noncyclic difference sets,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 1973.
[28] S. Mesnager, “Bent functions from spreads,” J. Amer. Math. Soc., to be published.
[29] S. Mesnager, “A new family of hyper-bent Boolean functions in polynomial form,” in Cryptography and Coding (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 5921, M. G. Parker, Ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2009, pp. 402-417.
[30] S. Mesnager, “Hyper-bent Boolean functions with multiple trace terms,” in Arithmetic of Finite Fields (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 6087, M. Hasan and T. Helleseth, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2010, pp. 97-113.
[31] S. Mesnager, “Bent and hyper-bent functions in polynomial form and their link with some exponential sums and Dickson polynomials, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 5996-6009, Sep. 2011.
[32] S. Mesnager, “A new class of bent and hyper-bent Boolean functions in polynomial forms,” Des., Codes Cryptography, vol. 59, nos. 1-3, pp. 265-279, 2011.
[33] S. Mesnager, “Several New Infinite Families of Bent Functions and Their Duals,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 60, no. 7, JULY 2014
[34] S. Mesnager and J. P. Flori, “Hyper-bent functions via Dillon-like exponents,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 3215-3232, May 2013.
[35] K. Nyberg, “On the construction of highly nonlinear permutations,” in EUROCRYPT (Lecture Notes in Computer Science), vol. 658. New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 1993, pp. 92-98.
[36] J. D. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch, “Bent-function sequences,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 858-864, Nov. 1982.
[37] J. Pieprzyk, C. Qu, “Fast Hashing and rotation symmetric functions,” J. Univ. Comput. Sci. 5, 20-31 (1999)
[38] A. Pott, Y. Tan, and T. Feng, “Strongly regular graphs associated with ternary bent functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 668-682, 2010.
[39] A. Pott, Y. Tan, T. Feng, and S. Ling, “Association schemes arising from bent functions,” Des., Codes Cryptography, vol. 59, nos. 1-3, pp. 319-331, 2011.
[40] O. Rothaus, “On bent functions,” J. Combinat. Theory, Ser. A, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 300-305, 1976.
[41] R. Singh, B. Sarma, A. Saikia, “Public key cryptography using permutation p-polynomials over finite fields,” IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive 2009: 208 (2009)
[42] G. Xu, X. Cao, S. Xu. “Several new classes of Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values”, arXiv:1506.04886v1
[43] N. Y. Yu and G. Gong, “Construction of quadratic bent functions in polynomial forms,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 3291-3299, Jul. 2006.